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Abstract

LiAlH$_4$ is a promising material for hydrogen storage, having the theoretical gravimetric density of 10.6 wt% H$_2$. In order to decrease the temperature where hydrogen is released, we investigated the catalytic influence of Fe$_2$O$_3$ on LiAlH$_4$ dehydrogenation, as a model case for understanding the effects transition oxide additives have in the catalysis process. Quick mechanochemical synthesis of LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ led to the significant decrease of the hydrogen desorption temperature, and desorption of over 7 wt%H$_2$ in the temperature range 143-154°C. Density functional theory (DFT)-based calculations with Tran-Blaha modified Becke-Johnson functional (TBmBJ) address the electronic structure of LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer study shows the change in the oxidational state of iron during hydrogen desorption, while the $^1$H NMR study reveals the presence of paramagnetic species that affect relaxation. The electron transfer from hydrides is discussed as the proposed mechanism of destabilization of LiAlH$_4$ + 5 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$.
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Introduction

Hydrogen is considered as one of the ideal replacements for fossil fuels since it is a pure and powerful energy carrier. However, hydrogen storage remains the technological bottleneck before wider adoption. Special attention is drawn to metal hydrides and complex metal hydrides as storage materials, due to their recyclability, low absorption/desorption temperatures, or optimal kinetics. LiAlH$_4$ is a complex metal hydride that consists of an electropositive Li$^+$ ion and a coordination complex [AlH$_4^-$] in which the hydrogen is covalently bonded. LiAlH$_4$ is a focus of research in the last decade, due to its outstanding properties: high gravimetric and volumetric density and easy synthesis [1]. Its theoretical capacity is 10.6 wt% H$_2$ [2] and 7.9 wt% H$_2$, for the first and the second decomposition stage [3].

Although LiAlH$_4$ has a high storage capacity, one impediment for its wide application is slow desorption kinetics. Destabilization of hydride material (by the introduction of dopants that create...
defects in the crystal structure, or by adding or removing charge) is discussed previously as the path of decreasing desorption temperature or enhancing the storage properties of metal hydrides [4], and in particular for LiAlH₄ dehydrogenation [5]. Mechanochemical synthesis shows advantages over standard techniques due to environmentally clean (no waste) synthesis, economic benefits reflected in the fast-grinding procedures, and reduction of the particle size. An additional benefit of ball-milling is the increase in the surface area and micro-deformations that appear in the lattice [6]. Ball-milling influences the kinetics and thermodynamics of the hydrogen adsorption/desorption process by decreasing the diffusion length and increasing the speed of diffusion [7]. Kinetics is improved in ball-milled samples [8] [9], and, in addition, prolonged milling time leads to the decomposition of LiAlH₄ [10]. Various dopants or alloying compounds improve dehydrogenation kinetics of hydrogen storage materials, i.e. lower dehydrogenation temperature [11] [12] and make LiAlH₄ promising for various applications not just in chemistry (used for organic substrates). Previous research indicates that iron and iron oxides favorably influence the dehydrogenation properties of LiAlH₄ [13] [14] [15]. Li et al. [15] showed how 30 min. milling with 5wt% of Fe₂O₃ significantly reduces desorption temperature. It is not clear whether the transition metal dopants decrease the melting point of LiAlH₄ that is in the range 150°C - 170°C [12] [10] [16] or they accelerate the ionic transformation of [AlH₄]²⁻ into [AlH₆]³⁻ that is observed near the melting temperatures [17]. Mixing LiAlH₄ with a small amount of other metal oxides (e.g., TiO₂, Cr₂O₃, etc.) also enhances its properties [18] [19] [20] [21], resulting in narrower band gap and faster kinetics that enables hydrogen release at a lower temperature. Mal’tseva and Golovanova [22] discussed the stability of LiAlH₄ in an experimental study and concluded that it is in correlative relation with impurities present in the analyzed sample. The introduction of dopants causes the excitation of valence electrons to antibonding states which influences the desorption process [23]. Various studies [24] [25] [26] imply that electronic excitations during the physisorption or chemisorption play an important role. Hamers [27] described that there is a difference between thermal desorption and desorption caused by energetic sources of excitation (incident photons or electrons), which are causing electronic transitions. It has been suggested that these transitions provoke the thermal desorption at the material surface [23]. Electronic structure and stability of LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ were addressed also by DFT [28] [29]. The open question remains how metal oxides affect the desorption kinetics of LiAlH₄. The aim of the study is to investigate the changes in dehydrogenation properties of LiAlH₄ upon
addition of Fe₂O₃ by experimental and theoretical approach. We studied the decomposition properties, local structure and hydrogen dynamics of ball-milled LiAlH₄+5wt% Fe₂O₃. Furthermore, we performed the DFT study of LiAlH₄ and its decomposition product Li₃AlH₆, as well as the study of the effect of the unit cell charge to approximate the influence of the iron oxide addition. We were not able to find any results in literature for TBmBJ and destabilization study for comparison, beside all our effort.

2. Methodology

2.1. Experimental approach

Mechanochemical synthesis of LiAlH₄ + 5wt% Fe₂O₃ was performed in a high-energy ball mill (SPEX 5100 Mixer/Mill) in an argon atmosphere with grinding speed 2500 rpm, that consists of a stainless-steel container with the maximal capacity of 100 mg and one hardened-steel ball. The movement of the ball is confined only to the horizontal plane. The mill container was loaded with 95mg of LiAlH₄ (purity 97%, Alfa Aesar, GmbH & Co KG Germany) and 5mg of Fe₂O₃ (catalyst grade, Sigma Aldrich). LiAlH₄ decomposition products were determined using X-ray powder diffraction (Euraf FR590, recording conditions: step = 0.05°, step time = 1 s, ambient conditions).

Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) measurements were performed in a custom-made designed apparatus connected with the mass spectrometer (MS) Extorr XT100. Around 3 mg of analyzed powder was placed in a sample holder (quartz tube) inside an electrical furnace. Quartz tube with the sample was evacuated to 1x10⁻⁷ mbar at room temperature. After 30 min, when constant pressure in the whole system was accomplished, heating up of the sample started. We used linear heating with a ramping of 10 °C/min, from room temperature to 300 °C. Simultaneously, the Evolved Gas Analysis (EGA) signals as partial pressures were collected for 8 different m/z ratios: 1 (H), 2 (H₂), 8 (LiH), 17 (OH), 18 (H₂O), 27 (Al), 28 (N₂), 32 (O₂). Hydrogen content (wt.%) was determined by gravimetrical approach, by measuring the mass of the sample upon heating in a ceramic crucible inside of tubular furnace from RT to 300 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min, with helium flow of 30 cm³/min.

The ⁵⁷Fe-Mössbauer spectra were collected at room temperature in standard transmission geometry in constant acceleration mode using a ⁵⁷Co (Rh) source. The spectrometer was calibrated by using the spectrum of natural iron. The Mössbauer spectra were fitted by the Recoil program
The center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to the natural iron (CS = 0). The spectra were analyzed by fitting the quadrupole splitting distributions (QSDs) and hyperfine field distributions (HFDs) to the spectra using the Voigt-based method of Rancourt and Ping [31].

The NMR measurements were carried out using a 2.35 T superconducting magnet (Oxford), with the magnetic field corresponding to the proton Larmor frequency of 100 MHz. Commercial LiAlH₄, as well as LiAlH₄ + 5wt% Fe₂O₃ (milled for 1 and 5 min) were sealed in glass vials under Ar atmosphere to prevent contact with water vapor or oxygen. Proton NMR spectra and spin-lattice relaxation times (T₁) were measured in heating run from 80 to 400 K (the temperature limit of the setup) using a standard gas flow cryostat. NMR spectra were obtained using spin echoes. Spin-lattice relaxation times were measured using the saturation recovery pulse sequence.

### 2.2. Computational details

LiAlH₄ crystallizes in a monoclinic system (No. 14), P2₁/c space group. Its crystal structure consists of four formula units per unit cell (24 atoms in a primitive cell), and Al is surrounded by four hydrogen atoms [32]. α-Li₃AlH₆, the decomposition product of LiAlH₄, crystallizes in a rhombohedral crystalline system (No. 148), R-3[33] space group. As an initial set of data, experimentally determined lattice parameters and atomic positions were used for both hydrides.

Calculations are performed using Quantum Espresso program package [34] and Wien2k program package [35], both based on density functional theory (DFT) [36]. For the pseudopotential approach, the exchange-correlation potential was treated within generalized gradient approximations (GGA) by Perdew – Wang [37], with valence electrons (Li 2s¹, Al 3s² 3p¹, H 1s¹). The plane wave energy cut-off is set to 40 Ry for LiAlH₄, Li₃AlH₆, LiH, and Li. The k-point mesh is created by a Monkhorst-Pack scheme [38] using 7 × 7 × 7 points for LiAlH₄, Li₃AlH₆, 12 × 12 × 12 for Al, 11 × 11 × 11 for Li and LiH, and 4 × 4 × 4 for H₂.

Full potential linearized augmented plane waves + local orbitals (FPLAPW+lo) approach implemented in Wien2k [35] allowed the introduction of local orbitals (lo) in the basis, improving upon linearization and enabling a consistent treatment of the semi core and valence states in an energy window, maintaining suitable orthogonality. The exchange-correlation potential was treated within GGA by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) [39] for exchange-correlation. To overcome the bottleneck problem of standard methods in the assessment of the band gap (as excited state property [40]), calculations were performed under TBmBJ [41] (Tran - Blaha
modified Becke Johnson exchange potential, which includes LDA correlation). Parameters were set as follows: RMT (Al) = 1.75 bohr, RMT (H) = 0.95 bohr, RMT (Li) = 1.90 bohr while the magnitude of the vector G in the Fourier expansion is set to 20 bohr$^{-1}$; RMT$^{K_{\text{max}}}$ (LiAlH$_4$) = 5, RMT$^{K_{\text{max}}}$ (Li$_3$AlH$_6$) = 5. The k-points sampling was performed using $7 \times 7 \times 7$ grid for LiAlH$_4$, and Li$_3$AlH$_6$. The energy separating core and valence states was set to 6.0 Ry. Structure geometries were optimized, and relaxed by minimization of charge (until it became less than 0.00001e) and forces (until they became less than 0.0001 Ry/bohr).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Study of LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$

3.1.1. Hydrogen desorption behavior

Desorption properties of LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ were determined using Temperature Programmed Desorption coupled with the mass spectrometer. Comparison between the commercial LiAlH$_4$ and the sample modified with Fe$_2$O$_3$, both subjected to TPD, is presented in figure 1. By comparing the partial pressures of each chemical species during desorption (the m/z ratios of eight compounds were monitored) it is evident that 99% of summary MS signal originates from desorbed hydrogen. Therefore, complete H$_2$ desorption observed in LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ is described with three characteristic dehydrogenation peaks at 143 °C, 153 °C, and 161 °C (see fig.1a). According to these results and by comparing them with literature data [42] we can argue that desorption properties are improved due to the addition of Fe$_2$O$_3$: the starting desorption temperature is lowered from 150 °C for LiAlH$_4$, reported in [42], to 143 °C, while the second desorption peak at 153 °C, is significantly lower than 210 °C reported for unmodified LiAlH$_4$ [12] [42]. The commercial sample also shows lower desorption temperature as compared to literature values [12] [42] and not so evident second desorption peak, and this might be due to the impurities present in the sample [22], as discussed in the section 3.2.2.

An additional effect of milling with Fe$_2$O$_3$ is seen when comparing with the commercial sample, fig.1a. During the ball milling, the jar inevitably heats up (from ball impact to powder) and consequently, the sample receives energy. Thus, lowering of the kinetic barrier for hydrogen desorption, and the sorption acceleration could be due to thermal activation or the existence of
surface layer defects [43] that accelerate hydrogen release towards the particle surface. TPD of LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ shows how the addition of a small amount of Fe$_2$O$_3$ reacts as a catalyst [15] and shifts the peak position towards lower temperatures.

![Graphs showing TPD of LiAlH$_4$ and LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$.](image)

Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of hydrogen partial pressure of temperature in LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ milled for 1 minute: a) TPD in temperature range 135°C - 180°C and TPD for the commercial LiAlH$_4$; b) temperature dependence of amount of desorbed hydrogen in LiAlH$_4$ + 5wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$.

Comparing to the commercial LiAlH$_4$ in which hydrogen desorption starts around 153 °C and reaches the second decomposition step at 161 °C, LiAlH$_4$+5wt%Fe$_2$O$_3$ in this study shows a 10 °C lower desorption temperature for both first and second decomposition step, at 143 °C and 152 °C respectively. It is possible that the second decomposition step of commercial LiAlH$_4$ occurs earlier from the previously reported temperature (180 °C - 220 °C) due to the interaction of LiAlH$_4$ with present impurities. The appearance of the peak at low temperatures in LiAlH$_4$ + 5 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ as compared to pure LiAlH$_4$, fig.1a, implies the destabilization of the starting and intermediate hydrides that enable faster hydrogen release. The onset of desorption is however not as low as in the samples milled for much longer time (LiAlH$_4$ + 5 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ milled for 30 min. starts desorption at 100 °C [3]), demonstrating how longer milling time leads to additional destabilization of the LiAlH4 and can be used for additional tuning of the desorption onset. Our
focus in the investigation is the influence of the dopant, and this is the reason for the selection of the short synthesis time.

The amount of desorbed hydrogen was obtained by integrating the H$_2$ peak area. Namely, by integrating and normalizing the H$_2$ partial pressure profile to the mass difference of sample (i.e., the H$_2$ content) we obtained the dependence of desorbed wt.% H$_2$ with temperature (fig. 1b). Curve integration shows that LiAlH$_4$ + 5 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ desorbed approximately 7.3 wt% of hydrogen in the temperature range 143 °C to 180 °C. The amount desorbed in the first decomposition reaction is 4.1 wt% and the second reaction releases 3.2 wt% of hydrogen. The obtained result is consistent with amounts of hydrogen released below 200 °C in previous studies, showing 7.47 wt% [3] for LiAlH$_4$ and 7.5 wt% for Ti-doped LiAlH$_4$ [44]. Also, the sample doped with 5 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ and milled for 30min released 4.5 wt% and 3.1 wt% of hydrogen in the first and second decomposition step, respectively [3].

XRD spectra of the commercial LiAlH$_4$, before and after desorption are shown in Fig.2.

Fig. 2. XRD patterns of the commercial LiAlH$_4$ a); before b) after hydrogen desorption.
XRD of the commercial LiAlH₄ sample (fig. 2a) recorded at ambient conditions shows a dominant phase of LiAlH₄. Intermetallic phase α-Al₈.₉Li₁.₁, present in traces, might be the result of a spontaneous transformation of [AlH₄]²⁻ to [AlH₆]³⁻. In addition, α-Al₈.₉Li₁.₁, LiOH is also present. The diffraction peaks are shifted towards lower values of the 2θ-scale.

According to the XRD pattern, after desorption (fig. 2b), in the reaction mixture, small amounts of decomposition products β-Li₃AlH₆ and LiH are observed, as well as the intermetallic phase identified as α-Al₈.₉Li₁.₁. The rest of the components, LiOH, LiAlH₄, and weakly crystalized Li, are present in traces.

3.1.2. Local structure and dynamics study

Mossbauer spectroscopy is a technique that allows probing the local structure and the charge state of iron atoms. We use it to see what happens to Fe₂O₃ in different stages of the experiment, in the milling process and during the desorption. ⁵⁷Fe-Mössbauer spectroscopy due to its high sensitivity as compared to XRD. Recorded spectra and the fit of the LiAlH₄+α-Fe₂O₃ sample before and after TPD are presented in Fig. 3. The fitted Mössbauer parameters of each subspectrum are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Room temperature ⁵⁷Fe-Mössbauer hyperfine parameters for the LiAlH₄+α-Fe₂O₃ sample before and after TPD: A – relative area of the Mössbauer subspectrum; CS – center shift; <QS> - centroid of quadrupole splitting distribution; σ(QS) - standard deviation of quadrupole splitting distribution; ε - quadrupole shift in case of combined strong magnetic and weak electric interaction, <B₉₀> – centroid of hyperfine magnetic field distribution, σ(B₉₀) - standard deviation of hyperfine magnetic field distribution. The fitting errors are presented in the parenthesis.
The $^{57}$Fe-Mössbauer spectrum of the LiAlH$_4$+α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ sample milled for 1 minute (Fig. 3a) shows the main central doublet, typical for the Fe$_2$O$_3$. This broadened central doublet was fitted with one quadrupole splitting distribution (supplementary, Table 3s, QSD site 1) ascribed to Fe in $3^+$ oxidation state according to the center shift value ($CS = 0.34$ mms$^{-1}$). This QSD component, with the centroid of quadrupole splitting distribution at $<QS> = 0.74$ mms$^{-1}$, has a very similar average quadrupole splitting, as found for the iron (III)-oxide in the superparamagnetic (SPM) state reported by Tuček et al. [45] or for amorphous iron (III)-oxide nanoparticles [46]. The major contribution to this QSD most probably comes from the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in the SPM state, with the numerous particles with a large number of surface iron ions and/or presence of defects. We found that the QSD-Mössbauer parameters roughly match the SPM-hematite by Hermanek et al. [47]. Some authors have previously found a much smaller average quadrupole splitting of ~0.51-0.56 mms$^{-1}$ for SPM-hematite nanoparticles [48] [49]. It has been found in the case of very small nanoparticles where a large number of surface iron ions has a strong influence on the spectrum, that the nanoparticle’s surface iron ions in comparison with the nanoparticle’s inner iron ions show enlarged quadrupole splitting [48]. The presence of defects also results in enhanced quadrupole splitting of hematite above the superparamagnetic blocking temperature [49]. There are other smaller contributions, which could be other SPM iron(III)-oxides or iron(III)-hydroxides [50][51][52][53] if they are present in the sample, but the signal is too faint to properly assess what

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mössbauer subspectrum</th>
<th>$A$ [%]</th>
<th>$CS$ [mms$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$&lt;QS&gt;/\epsilon$ [mms$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma(QS)$ [mms$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$&lt;B_{hf}&gt;$ [T]</th>
<th>$\sigma(B_{hf})$ [T]</th>
<th>iron valence state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample before TPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD site 1</td>
<td>88.80(84)</td>
<td>0.3394(21)</td>
<td>0.7347(34)</td>
<td>0.223(17)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD site 1</td>
<td>11.20(84)</td>
<td>0.385(20)</td>
<td>-0.109(20)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49.82(15)</td>
<td>0.57(31)</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample after TPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD site 1</td>
<td>19.12(85)</td>
<td>0.363(14)</td>
<td>0.611(18)</td>
<td>0.370(35)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD site 2</td>
<td>3.22(74)</td>
<td>0.717(41)</td>
<td>1.11(12)</td>
<td>0.225(91)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD site 3</td>
<td>1.09(29)</td>
<td>0.609(55)</td>
<td>2.79(13)</td>
<td>0.15(18)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD site 1</td>
<td>48.9(15)</td>
<td>0.0015(15)</td>
<td>-0.0026(14)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.872(11)</td>
<td>0.217(51)</td>
<td>Fe$^0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD site 2</td>
<td>12.11(86)</td>
<td>0.602(18)</td>
<td>-0.030(16)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45.04(18)</td>
<td>1.85(21)</td>
<td>Fe$^{2.5+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD site 3</td>
<td>9.44(70)</td>
<td>0.276(14)</td>
<td>-0.023(12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48.208(95)</td>
<td>0.81(14)</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD site 4</td>
<td>6.1(17)</td>
<td>-0.064(53)</td>
<td>-0.047(52)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.41(84)</td>
<td>2.76(92)</td>
<td>Fe$^0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
it due to the overlapping of their possible Mössbauer spectral contributions and the QSD contribution in the spectrum. The six lines absorption feature (sextet) also visible in the spectrum was fitted with one hyperfine magnetic field distribution (Table 1, HFD site 1) and ascribed to the larger hematite nanoparticles due to the distinct Mössbauer parameters of $CS = 0.39$ mms$^{-1}$, $<Bhf>$ = 50 T and $\epsilon = -0.11$ mms$^{-1}$ which are similar to the bulk $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [50] [52] [53].

After TPD, the Mössbauer spectrum of the sample is significantly changed (Fig. 3b). The spectrum was fitted with three quadrupole splitting distributions (QSD sites 1-3) and four hyperfine magnetic field distributions (HFD sites 1-4). HFD site 1 was undoubtedly assigned to the $\alpha$-Fe where Fe is in the zero-valence state (i.e., metallic iron or bcc-Fe), due to the typical metallic iron Mössbauer features, $CS = 0$ mms$^{-1}$, $<Bhf> \sim 33$ T and $\epsilon \sim 0$ mms$^{-1}$ [52] [53]. For the HFD site 4, the Mössbauer parameters indicated that this phase is magnetically ordered ($<Bhf> \sim 28$ T) with $^{57}$Fe cubic environment due to the quadrupole shift ($\epsilon$) value close to zero. Since the center shift value was also close to zero, this component was ascribed to the bcc-Fe (Al) [54]. According to the distinctive Mössbauer parameters, the HFD sites 2 and 3 belong to the cubic inverse spinel, most likely to the magnetite phase. The stoichiometric magnetite is the cubic inverse spinel and represented by the formula $(Fe^{3+})^A(Fe^{3+}Fe^{2+})^B O^{2-}$. The A-sites (tetrahedral sites) are occupied by $Fe^{3+}$ ions and the B-sites (octahedral sites) by the $Fe^{3+}$ and $Fe^{2+}$ ions. At the temperatures above the Verwey transition temperature (~ 119 K for magnetite), the rapid electron exchange exists between the $Fe^{2+}$ and $Fe^{3+}$ ions at the B-sites, and a mixed 2.5$^+$ iron valence state is detected [55]. The HFD sites 2 and 3 Mössbauer parameters match closely to the ones found for the magnetite phase [52] [53] [55], therefore the HFD site 2 was ascribed to the $Fe^{2.5+}$ B-octahedral site and HFD site 3 to the $Fe^{3+}$ A-tetrahedral site of the cubic inverse spinel. The QSD site 1 has distinct $Fe^{3+}$ character ($CS = 0.36$ mms$^{-1}$). The signal from nanoparticles is too faint to resolve various possible iron (III)-based phases and iron (III)-oxides/hydroxides contributions to this component. The QSD 2 site and 3 have mixed $Fe^{3+}$ and $Fe^{2+}$ characters according to the $CS$ values of 0.72 mms$^{-1}$ and 0.61 mms$^{-1}$, respectively. For the QSD site 3, due to the very large quadrupole splitting of $QS = 2.79$ mms$^{-1}$, the $Fe^{2+}$ character is found as dominant. For the QSD site 2, due to the rather large central shift value, we are also more in favor of more $Fe^{2+}$ character. For each of the spectral contribution, the corresponding spectral areas (Table 1) are exactly proportional to products of the Fe site-specific effective recoil-free fractions and the Fe site-specific occupancies. Under the assumption that all Fe-sites present in the investigated samples have identical effective
recoil-free fractions, the Mössbauer subspectrum areas may be used to quantitatively compare the relative amounts of iron ions on the various types of Fe sites in the samples. In general, these site-specific effective recoil-free fractions are not the same for different Fe sites. For the Fe-bearing phases present in the sample before and after the TPD, we may only give a rough estimate that a significant portion of the iron ions has changed their valence state from Fe$^{3+}$ before TPD to the Fe$^0$ and Fe$^{2+}$ after TPD.

![Mössbauer Spectra](image)

Fig. 3. Room temperature $^{57}$Fe-Mössbauer spectra of the LiAlH$_4$+α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ sample before (A) and after the TPD procedure (B). Experimental data are presented by the solid circles and the best fit is given by the red solid line. The individual component spectra are shown above the main spectrum fit: A): QSD site 1 (blue doublet), HFD site 1 (green sextet); B): QSD site 1, site 2 and site 3 (blue doublets), HFD site 1 and site 4 (black sextets), HFD site 2 and site 3 (green sextets)

While XRD and Mossbauer spectroscopy provides an insight into the structure and the composition of the system, NMR on the other hand allows us to probe the local dynamics in the system. In LiAlH$_4$, we are interested in checking whether the local motions influence the decomposition process, and how the addition of the catalyst may influence those motions. From
the dynamics point of view, at low temperatures, there are no molecular motions, we can think of all atoms as “frozen” to their positions. Upon heating, the AlH₄ tetrahedra start rotating around different axes. This process is thermally activated. Rising the temperature even higher, those units become detached from the structure, which in turn leads to the decomposition. In related studies of borohydride-based materials, it was possible to extract the activation energies for the rotations of the BH₄ tetrahedra around various axes from the analysis of the temperature dependence of T. [56] [57]. The onset of motions was also evident from the shape of the proton NMR spectra, which is broad at low temperatures due to the dipolar interactions, and gets narrower upon heating because the motions partially average out those interactions.

![Proton NMR line width](image)

Fig. 4. Proton NMR line width (full width at half maximum) as a function of temperature for three samples. The inset shows the proton spectrum of the 5-min milled sample at 400 K.

In contrast to this ideal picture, it turns out that the presence of Fe₂O₃ smears out most of the effects of the dynamics. Throughout the temperature range, the NMR spectra are broad, and the line shape does not change significantly with temperature. The line has a roughly Gaussian shape, with the full width at half maximum of about 20 kHz for commercial sample and around 30 kHz for the two milled ones. The spin-lattice relaxation temperature dependence for all three samples is also very similar, with T₁ being slightly below 100 ms and temperature-independent except for the
higher temperatures (above 350 K), when they get longer. Apparently, the main relaxation mechanism is the coupling of the spins to the paramagnetic centers in the system, introduced by Fe₂O₃ in both milled samples but likely also by some traces of paramagnetic impurities in the pristine LiAlH₄ sample as well. Activation energies for rotations of AlH₄ tetrahedra could therefore not be extracted.

3.2. DFT study of LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆
3.2.1. Crystal structure and thermodynamics

Crystal structures of studied Li-alanates are shown in figure 5. Each Li atom in LiAlH₄ is surrounded by five AlH₄ tetrahedra (fig. 5a), and it is bound to one hydrogen atom from each of the tetrahedra. Li₃AlH₆ crystal structure (fig. 5b) consists of isolated [AlH₆]³⁻ octahedra connected by six-coordinated Li atoms. Anionic rearrangement (aluminum-tetrahydride anion- slightly distorted tetrahedron transforms to aluminum-hexahydride (octahedral) ionic structure) starts to occur during the ball milling, and later during the decomposition at elevated temperatures.

Fig. 5. Crystal structures of a) LiAlH₄, polyhedras around Al-1, b) Li₃AlH₆, polyhedras around Al-1 and Al-2

Optimized structural parameters obtained in this study and structural parameters reported previously in literature are presented in table 2.

Table 2. Structural parameters of LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ obtained in DFT calculations (using FPLAPW+lo approach) (at 0 K) and reported experimental values.
LiAlH₄ is stable at ambient conditions due to the slow kinetics of solid-state transformation to Li₃AlH₆. However, at higher temperatures, decomposition of LiAlH₄ is a three-step process [59]. During the first step, LiAlH₄ decomposes to Li₃AlH₆ and in that process releases 5.3 wt% of molecular hydrogen H₂ at temperature range 150°C -175 °C [3] [60], (R1):

$$LiAlH_4 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}Li_3AlH_6 + \frac{2}{3}Al + H_2$$ (R1)

First decomposition reaction is followed by reaction (R2) whereby 2.6 wt% of H₂ is released:

$$\frac{1}{3}Li_3AlH_6 \rightarrow LiH + \frac{1}{3}Al + \frac{1}{2}H_2$$ (R2)

For practical hydrogen storage applications, reactions (R1) and (R2) are achievable, given that they both occur at relatively low temperatures (160°C -210°C) [12].

It has been reported that Ti-doped LiAlH₄ [12] shows reversibility under low hydrogen pressure (4MPa).

Above 300°C, LiH and Al form LiAl [61] (2.6 wt% H₂).
\[ LiH + Al \rightarrow LiAl + \frac{1}{3} H_2 \] (R4)

Our calculated desorption energies using the full potential approach are 7.5 kJ/molH\(_2\) and 30.4 kJ/molH\(_2\), for \( R1 \) and \( R2 \) respectively. The obtained results are in agreement with data calculated at 0K approximation found in the literature [62]. Taking into account that the zero-point energy and temperature effects cancel each other [63], this is a good prediction of the enthalpy and agrees well with the experimental values reported at 298K for LiAlH\(_4\) (3.5kJ/molH\(_2\)) and Li\(_3\)AlH\(_4\) (28.9kJ/molH\(_2\)) [28]. Literature implies that kinetics can be accelerated by the control of particle size (smaller the particle size - higher the catalytical activity) [64] [65] [6] by increasing the ratio of surface area to volume, providing numerous nucleation sites, and promoting rapid diffusion of hydrogen [66] or by the use of suitable catalysts [67].

### 3.2.2 Electronic structure of LiAlH\(_4\) and Li\(_3\)AlH\(_6\)

When the TBmBJ potential is applied, the valence band (VB) narrows as the electron states are moved toward higher energies as compared to GGA-PBE. Fig. 6 shows the charge density plot for LiAlH\(_4\) and Li\(_3\)AlH\(_6\) in both GGA-PBE and TBmBJ approximations, and the results are in agreement with an earlier study on TBmBJ in AlH\(_3\) [68].

![2D plots of charge distribution](image)

Fig. 6. 2D plots of charge distribution (in e/Å\(^3\)) for LiAlH\(_4\) and Li\(_3\)AlH\(_6\) in (110) plane. For both hydrides: a) valence band (GGA-PBE), b) conduction band (GGA-PBE), c) valence band (TBmBJ), d) conduction band (TBmBJ).
Places with a high charge density belong to Al and the density decreases in the direction from the center of the nucleus to the atomic periphery. Lithium (yellowish places in the valence zone) appears in places where an accumulation of charge density is observed; this effect is more pronounced in Li₃AlH₆. Given the higher charge density, Al-H ionic bond in LiAlH₄ is more distinct comparing to Li-H ionic bond. The bond between Li and AlH₄ in LiAlH₄, and between Li and AlH₆ in Li₃AlH₆ is ionic; however, the bond between Al and H in both these compounds shares both covalent and ionic characters, as reported in the literature [69] [70].

Fig. 7 shows the band structure diagrams of LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ obtained using the TBmBJ potential.

![Band structure diagrams for LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆](image)

**Fig. 7.** Band structure diagrams for LiAlH₄ (a) and Li₃AlH₆ (b) obtained using the TBmBJ potential. Red lines refer to Fermi energy that is set to zero.

The top of the valence band originates from the H-s and Al-p states (see also Fig. 8) with a dominant contribution of H-s states in both compounds. It is observed that studied hydrides are wide band gap materials, with two separated regions in VB. LiAlH₄ shows intertwined bands implying localized electrons, compared to Li₃AlH₆ which shows more dispersive bands and probably delocalized electrons [71]. No direct band gap is observed in studied cases. The TBmBJ calculated band gap values of 7.02eV for LiAlH₄ and 5.96eV for Li₃AlH₆ are comparable to the literature GW core results (see supplementary info, table 4s). Both hydrides are p-type materials. Due to the dominant contribution of hydrogen s-states in VB, studied compounds are considered
also as s-hydrides according to S. Zh. Karahzanov et al. classification [72].

Fig. 8 shows the partial density of states for both systems; a difference in the bonding of the inequivalent hydrogen atoms is observed, which is also seen in the calculated cohesive energy (see table 7s). The VB has two regions for both compounds, the lower one corresponding to the Al s-states, and the top one to the Al p-states.

![Figure 8. Orbital-resolved density of states in LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$ obtained using the TBmBJ method](image)

**3.2.3. DFT study of destabilization of LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$**

Destabilization is proposed as the approach to overcome the obstacles such as slow kinetics and high thermodynamic stability; it can be performed by the implementation of some destabilization technique or doping [73] [74] [75]. Vajo et al. [76] studied alloy formation with Silicon and proved that it causes destabilization of MgH$_2$ and LiH; lowering the dehydrogenation enthalpy for LiH/Si system (120 kJ/mol H$_2$) comparing to unmodified LiH (190 kJ/mol H$_2$).

Based on the experimental study of local structure we observed changes in Fe oxidational number, i.e., transfer of an electron to Fe$_2$O$_3$ during hydrogen desorption. Also, our NMR study showed that paramagnetic centers are present not only in LiAlH$_4 + 5$wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ but also in the commercial LiAlH$_4$. The presence of impurities in the commercial sample explains the lower desorption temperature obtained in our work as compared to the literature values. To explain the mechanism of influence of transition metal oxides and various impurities in general, and based on the observed charge transfer during hydrogen desorption, we performed additional DFT calculations using the pseudopotential approach.

Destabilization resulting from positive charging of the LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$ is explored by
calculating the energy needed to remove inequivalent hydrogen atoms from the neutral and positively charged cells.

Removing one electron from the studied hydrides destabilizes structure in a way that removal of a hydrogen atom from a charged structure is energetically more favorable, as seen from the cohesive energies calculated for inequivalent hydrogen atoms. This effect is larger in LiAlH$_4$ than in Li$_3$AlH$_6$, but interestingly, after charging the unit cells cohesive energy is comparable in both hydrides, ranging from 2.16 to 2.36 eV (table 3).

Table 3. Hydrogen cohesive energy ($E_{coh}$) for the neutral and charged crystal cell of the studied hydrides (taking the energy of isolated hydrogen atom at the theoretical value of 1Ry=13.6 eV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Removed atom</th>
<th>$E_{coh}$(eV)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral cell</td>
<td>(+1) charged cell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiAlH$_4$</td>
<td>H-1</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H-2</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H-3</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H-4</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$AlH$_6$</td>
<td>H-1</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H-2</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 depicts the amount of cohesive energy needed to remove inequivalent hydrogen atoms from neutral and charged cells of studied hydrides. Removal of the electron from the structure reflected in increased Al-H bond length for all bonds in LiAlH$_4$ by 0.02 to 0.05 Å. Results are an indication of the order in which hydrogen is desorbed from these hydrides, but also an implication of the way the thermodynamics of these materials can be tailored in a desirable direction - hydrogen atoms will have looser bonds if destabilization includes charge transfer from the hydrides. Desorption of hydrogen from LiAlH$_4$+5wt%Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the narrow temperature interval (fig. 1) indicates similar stability of hydrogen atoms, which is in accordance with calculated cohesive energies of hydrogen atoms in the positively charged cells.

Discussing both experimental and theoretical results obtained, the influence of Fe$_2$O$_3$ can be highlighted. In section 3.1.1. we showed that introduction of 5% of Fe$_2$O$_3$ during 1min ball-milling of LiAlH$_4$ leads to the significant change in the hydrogen desorption behavior, i.e., the starting
desorption temperature is lowered from 150 °C for LiAlH₄, reported in [42], to 143 °C, while the second desorption peak at 153 °C, is significantly lower than 210 °C reported for unmodified LiAlH₄. The Mossbauer results presented in section 3.1.2. showed that before TPD iron atoms were found in Fe³⁺ state, while after the desorption valence state is changed (reduced) to Fe²⁺ and Fe⁰. During the ball-milling process, particles of dopant are dispersed on the host material in such a way to enable faster dehydrogenation (dopant acts as a catalyst). Based on these main conclusions and DFT results, we can conclude that introduction of Fe₂O₃ destabilizes LiAlH₄ and improves hydrogen desorption performance due to the combined effect of the ball-milling process, leading to thermal activation and existence of surface layer defects, and the effect of charge transfer from LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ to Fe₂O₃, leading to weaker bonding of hydrogen atoms.

![Cohesive energy needed to remove inequivalent hydrogen atoms from neutral and positively charged crystal cell of studied Li-alanates](image)

**Fig. 9.** Cohesive energy needed to remove inequivalent hydrogen atoms from neutral and positively charged crystal cell of studied Li-alanates

**4. Conclusion**

In the paper, we addressed the electronic structure and thermodynamical properties of a promising hydrogen storage material LiAlH₄ and its decomposition product Li₃AlH₆, as well as the influence of milling with 5 wt% of Fe₂O₃ on the hydrogen desorption and local structure. Significant improvement of the desorption properties as compared to pure LiAlH₄ is seen in LiAlH₄+5wt%Fe₂O₃. Modified sample desorbed 7.3 wt% of hydrogen. First desorption peak in LiAlH₄+5wt%Fe₂O₃ start at 143 °C and second at 154 °C, whereas desorption starts at 150 °C and 210 °C in the pure LiAlH₄. The mechanism of this improvement is studied using an experimental and theoretical approach. LiAlH₄ destabilization is addressed theoretically by removing hydrogen
atoms for neutral and charged cells and experimentally by ball-milling with a small amount of Fe$_2$O$_3$.

$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy study of ball-milled LiAlH$_4$+5wt%Fe$_2$O$_3$ sample before and after hydrogen desorption revealed significant changes in the iron atom local surroundings. HFD site 1 in a desorbed sample was undoubtedly assigned to the $\alpha$-Fe where Fe is in the zero-valence state, due to the typical metallic iron Mössbauer features, $CS = 0$ mms$^{-1}$, $<B_{hf}> \sim 33$ T and $\epsilon \sim 0$ mms$^{-1}$. For the HFD site 4, the Mössbauer parameters indicated that this phase is magnetically ordered ($<B_{hf}> \sim 28$ T) with probably $^{57}$Fe cubic environment due to the quadrupole shift ($\epsilon$) value close to zero. For the Fe-bearing phases present in the sample before and after the TPD, we gave a rough estimate that the significant portion of iron ions has changed their valence state from Fe$^{3+}$ before TPD to the Fe$^0$ and Fe$^{2+}$ after TPD. NMR was used to observe local dynamics in the system. At lower temperatures no molecular motions are seen; upon heating AlH$_4$ tetrahedra rotations are observed. Paramagnetic centers related to impurities are seen in the commercial sample as well. This change in the valence state of iron during dehydrogenation might be an indicator of charge transfer that leads to the destabilization of both LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$, as also shown in DFT calculations. Based on the TBmBJ calculations, both hydrides are classified as a wide band gap materials with calculated band gap energies: 7.02 eV and 5.96 eV for LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_3$AlH$_6$ respectively. Also, it is concluded that TBmBJ narrows the valence zone comparing to GGA-PBE. Calculated desorption energies obtained using GGA-PBE are 7.5 kJ/molH$_2$ and 30.4 kJ/molH$_2$ for LiAlH$_4$ and its decomposition product Li$_3$AlH$_6$, respectively.

**Acknowledgements**

The research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia and by the Slovenian Research Agency, core funding P1-0125. We acknowledge the contribution of the Serbia-Slovenia bilateral research project BI-RS/16/17-051.

**Literature**


[2] R. A. Varin, L. Zbroniec, Mechanical and thermal dehydrogenation of lithium alanate (LiAlH$_4$) and lithium amide (LiNH$_2$) hydride composites, Crystals, 2 (2012) 159-175.


[59] J. K. Kang, J. Y. Lee, R. P. Muller, W. A. Goddard III, Hydrogen storage in LiAlH$_4$: Predictions of the crystal structures and reaction mechanisms of intermediate phases from quantum mechanics,


