

Measurement of the $\sigma \times BR(H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$ at 350 GeV and 3 TeV center-of-mass energies CLIC

G. Milutinović - Dumbelović,^a I. Božović-Jelisavčić,^a N. Vukašinović,^a G. Kačarević,^a M. Radulović^b and J. Stevanović^b

^a“VINČA” Institute of Nuclear Sciences - National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Mike Petrovića Alasa 12-14, 11351 Belgrade, Serbia

^bFaculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

E-mail: gordanamd@vin.bg.ac.rs

In this paper we present results of the determination of the statistical precision of the branching fraction measurement, for Higgs decaying to ZZ^* pairs at 3 TeV and 350 GeV CLIC. Measurements are simulated with the CLIC_ILD detector model, taking into consideration all relevant physics and beam-induced background processes. It is shown that the product of the branching fraction $BR(H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$ and the Higgs production cross-section can be measured with a relative statistical uncertainty of 3% (20%) at 3 TeV (350 GeV) center-of-mass energy, using semileptonic final states and assuming an integrated luminosity of 5 (1) ab^{-1} .

*11th International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union (BPU11),
28 August - 1 September 2022
Belgrade, Serbia*

1. Introduction

The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) is a mature option for a future Higgs factory at CERN. If approved, CLIC could be ready for construction in 2026, with the first collisions in 2035 [1]. CLIC is foreseen as a staged machine that will run at center-of-mass energies: 380 GeV, 1.5 TeV and 3 TeV with the corresponding integrated luminosities of 1 ab^{-1} , 2.5 ab^{-1} and 5 ab^{-1} , respectively (Figure 1 [2]).




Figure 1: Luminosity per year in staged CLIC scenario. Due to the beamstrahlung the CLIC beam spectrum has a low-energy tail, so both the total luminosity per year and the luminosity collected above 99% of the nominal \sqrt{s} (labelled 1% peak), are shown.

The CLIC project combines a novel two-beam acceleration scheme, with a normal-conducting modular accelerator, that has been demonstrated at the CTF3 CLIC test facility at CERN [3], along with the functionality of the main accelerator components. The drive beam is a high-current beam (about 100 A) which generates a radio-frequency field (12 GHz) that is transferred to the acceleration cavities of the main linac. In this way, conventional acceleration cavities achieve a high accelerating gradient of 100 MV/m. In the main linacs, the beam is accelerated from 190 GeV to 1.5 TeV energy. In order to maximize the reach of the CLIC physics programme, equal amounts of -80% and +80% electron beam polarisation are foreseen at the initial energy stage. At higher-energy stages, a sharing of the running time for -80% and +80% electron-beam polarisation is optimized in the ratio of 80:20 [4]. A detector for CLIC is being developed based on a broad range of full-simulation and experimental studies. In its latest model (CLICdet [5]) it comprises all-silicon vertexing and tracking components, compact Electromagnetic (ECAL) and Hadronic (HCAL) calorimeters, all placed within a magnetic field of 4 T. Highly-granular calorimeters enable implementation of a Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA) [6] allowing separation of jets that originate from Higgs and vector bosons Z^0 and W^\pm . For jet energies of 50 GeV the jet energy resolution is about 5%, while for jet energies above 100 GeV jet-energy resolution is better than 3.5% [7]. The CLIC_ILD detector model [8] meets similar performances versus lepton identification efficiency and jet-energy reconstruction of relevance for this study.

2. $\sigma \times BR(H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$ analyses at 350 GeV and 3 TeV

Determination of the relative statistical uncertainty of the measurements $\sigma \times BR(H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$ at 350 GeV and 3 TeV CLIC is done for the semileptonic ($H \rightarrow ZZ^*$) final states, assuming a

realistic luminosity spectrum and the presence of beamsstrahlung background. At 3 TeV, Higgs bosons are produced in WW-fusion (Figure 2(a)) with a production cross-section of 415 fb. For 5 ab^{-1} one thus expects around 6000 signal events. The signal signature at 3 TeV is qqll final state and missing energy. At 350 GeV Higgs boson is produced in Higgsstrahlung process (Figure 2(b)) with a cross section of about 93.44 fb. For 1 ab^{-1} of available data one expects about 240 signal events with qqql final state where two jets are coming from a primary Z boson decaying hadronically.




Figure 2: Feynman diagrams of the dominant Higgs production mechanisms above (a) and below (b) 500 GeV center-of-mass energy

3. Event selection

Since the Higgs decay products are the same in both measurements, event selections at 350 GeV and 3 TeV share common methodology. Firstly, two leptons (electrons or muons) are isolated including recovery of photons that are radiated by final state leptons (Bremsstrahlung recovery). Lepton dressing by adding photons radiated in a 3° cone improves the mass resolution of reconstructed on-shell Z bosons. The remaining particles are then grouped in 2 (4) jets by the kT algorithm [9], where the cone radius of a jet is set to $R = 0.7$ (1.1) at 3 TeV (350 GeV) center-of-mass energy. In the preselection phase, we look for events with exactly 2 isolated leptons per event. Preselection primarily reduces backgrounds with large cross sections like $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}l^+l^-$, $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}l$, at 3 TeV and $e^-e^+ \rightarrow q\bar{q}l^+l^-$, $e^-e^+ \rightarrow q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$, at 350 GeV. Lepton isolation is done with the Isolated Lepton Finder (ILF) Marlin processor [10] that uses several parameters in lepton isolation, including track energy of a particle (E_{track}), ratio of energy deposited in ECAL and HCAL calorimeters R_{CAL} :

$$R_{\text{CAL}} = E_{\text{ECAL}} / (E_{\text{ECAL}} + E_{\text{HCAL}}) \quad (1)$$

longitudinal z_0 , transverse d_0 , 3D impact parameter R_0 :

$$R_0 = \sqrt{z_0^2 + d_0^2} \quad (2)$$

and isolation curve removing from the preselection lepton candidates with too much energy in a cone around them (E_{cone}). Since Beamsstrahlung background is more pronounced at high energy, the isolation cone contains more energy at 3 TeV than at 350 GeV and therefore loss of events with leptons on isolation curve is larger at the higher center-of-mass energy. This effect is partially

reduced with the additional requirement on minimal transverse momenta of particles in the isolation cone. Preselection efficiencies are 67% and 77% at 3 TeV and 350 GeV, respectively. In Figure 3 (a and b), stacked histograms of the Higgs invariant mass are illustrated after the preselection phase at 3 TeV and 350 GeV, respectively.




Figure 3: Distributions of the Higgs invariant mass after preselection phase, at 3 TeV (a) and 350 GeV (b)

4. Multivariate analysis

Final separation of signal from background is done by employing a multivariate analysis (MVA). The Toolkit for Multivariate Analysis (TMVA) [11] is applied using the Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) method in classification of events. It tends to maximize the statistical significance of signal to background separation. The BDT output variable cut-off value is chosen to maximize the statistical significance S :

$$S = N_S / \sqrt{N_S + N_B} \quad (3)$$

where $N_{S,B}$ denotes the number of selected signal and background events. Relative statistical uncertainty δ is derived from the statistical significance as $\delta = 1/S$. The BDT is trained on 16 (20) sensitive observables at 3 TeV (350 GeV), like masses of reconstructed Z bosons, Higgs mass and polar angle, visible energy of an event, b and c-tagging probabilities of jets and jet transition variables. At both energies, the Higgs mass is most sensitive to the signal-to-background separation, that is done in a window around 126 GeV. In Figure 4 (left and right), distributions of the reconstructed Higgs mass are given after MVA application, at 3 TeV (a) and 350 GeV (b) center-of-mass energies.

5. Statistical uncertainties

Signal BDT efficiencies are estimated to be 59% and 23%, at 3 TeV and 350 GeV, respectively. The total signal efficiency after all selection phases is 39% at 3 TeV and 18% at 350 GeV. The relatively low BDT efficiency at 350 GeV is due to the fact that the signal is very rare in nature (below 200 preselected events in 1 ab^{-1}), so the relative statistical uncertainty is sensitive even to the smallest loss of signal. The relative statistical uncertainty derived from statistical significance as in Eq.(1), is found to be 3% at 3 TeV and 18% at 350 GeV, assuming integrated luminosities of 5 ab^{-1} and 1 ab^{-1} , respectively. With the proposed polarization scheme of $\pm 80\%$ longitudinal




Figure 4: Distributions of the Higgs invariant mass after MVA phase, at 350 TeV (left) and 3 GeV (right)

electron-beam polarization and no positron polarization, the relative statistical uncertainty of the 3 TeV measurement will be conservatively decreased by a factor $\sqrt{1.48}$ [12], while the result at 350 GeV would not be relevantly influenced due to the different chiral nature of the Higgs production mechanism.

6. Conclusion

The Higgs to ZZ^* branching fraction measurement at CLIC is fully simulated at 350 GeV and 3 TeV center-of-mass energies, for the semi-leptonic final states of Higgs to ZZ^* decays. The relative statistical uncertainty of a measurement is derived from the statistical significance and is found to be 3% at 3 TeV and 20% at 350 GeV, assuming integrated luminosities 5 ab^{-1} and 1 ab^{-1} , respectively. The obtained result at 3 TeV is in line with the projection in [13].

Acknowledgments

Speaker's contribution is supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia through the grant No. 7699827, IDEJE HIGHTON-P.

References

- [1] Aicheler, M. et al. (eds.), The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) - Project Implementation Plan, CERN, 2018, [arXiv:1903.08655](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.08655)
- [2] M. J. Boland et al. [CLIC and CLICdp Collaboration], Updated baseline for a staged Compact Linear Collider, CERN-2016-004 (2016), [arXiv:1608.07537v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07537v3)
- [3] Robson, A. et al., The Compact Linear e^+e^- Collider (CLIC): Accelerator and Detector, CERN, 2018, [arXiv:1812.07987](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.07987)
- [4] Roloff, P. et al., The Compact Linear e^+e^- Collider (CLIC): Physics Potential, CLICdp-Note-2018-010, CERN, 2018.
- [5] N. Alipour Tehrani et al., CLICdet: The post-CDR CLIC detector model, CLICdp-Note-2017-001 (2017), <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2254048>

- [6] M. A. Thomson, Particle Flow Calorimetry and the Pandora PFA Algorithm, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 611, 25 (2009), [arXiv:0907.3577](#)
- [7] Arominski D. et al. [CLICdp Collaboration], A detector for CLIC: main parameters and performance, CERN, Geneva, 2018, [arXiv:1812.07337](#).
- [8] L. Linssen, A. Miyamoto, M. Stanitzki, H. Weerts (eds.), Physics and Detectors at CLIC: CLIC Conceptual Design Report, ANL-HEP-TR-12-01, CERN-2012-003, DESY 12-008, KEK Report 2011-7 (2012), [arXiv:1202.5940](#)
- [9] S. Catani et al., Longitudinally-invariant k_{\perp} -clustering algorithms for hadron-hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. B 406, 187 (1993)
- [10] O. Wendt, F. Gaede, T. Kramer, Event reconstruction with MarlinReco at the ILC, Pramana 69 (2007), [arXiv:physics/0702171](#)
- [11] A. Höcker et al., TMVA - Toolkit for multivariate data analysis (2009), [arXiv:physics/0703039](#)
- [12] A. Robson and P. Roloff, Updated CLIC luminosity staging baseline and Higgs coupling prospects, CLICdp-Note-2018-002 (2018), [arXiv:1812.01644](#)
- [13] H. Abramowicz et al. [CLICdp Collaboration], Higgs physics at the CLIC Electron-Positron Linear Collider, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 475 (2017), [arXiv:1608.07538](#)